

Ways to Cope with the Scientific ARENA: Taking the Results of Archaeological Research a Step Further

DESPOINA TSIAFAKI and NATASA MICHAILIDOU, "Athena": Research & Innovation Centre in Information, Communication & Knowledge Technologies, Xanthi, Greece

The digitisation of scientific results in ways that make them easy to manage and help to create new knowledge is a requisite. Within archaeology, the relevant activity has focused in digitisation in 2 or 3D of excavations, geographical information, movable and immovable finds and the relative literature. The subsequent data, presented in paper publications, now become also available in off and online repositories.

Within this framework this paper presents the ARENA project (Archaeological REsearch in the North Aegean). Its main theme is the creation of a digital place and time-related repository of archaeological literature. The project aims at the collection, management and presentation of the archaeological research conducted in Aegean Thrace and generally the northern Greek territory. Its goal is the transformation of traditional research into digital archaeology accessible and usable by everyone. A multidisciplinary approach is used, bringing together traditional archaeological research and the use of information and communication technology.

The aim of ARENA is a) to give an integrated perspective to the researchers of Aegean Thrace and to facilitate their work, but also b) to provide the general public (residents, tourists, teachers etc.) with a tool in order to better approach the archaeological knowledge regarding this area.

Through this work the objective is to create different tools and repositories presented through an online platform where 1) the available archaeological publications for the region will be gathered, 2) the ancient sites and the relevant research will be presented following chronological and spatial criteria and 3) the results of the archaeological research will be easily accessible by the public.

The ultimate goal of ARENA is to deliver a digital platform that will serve as an infrastructure tool for the archaeological research in Northern Greece, for specialists and public alike. It will function as a digital hub fulfilling a scientific gap.

Key words:

Archaeological research, Digital archaeology, Public archaeology, Northern Greece, Archaeological literature

CHNT Reference:

Despoina Tsiafaki and Natasa Michailidou. 2018. Ways to Cope with the Scientific ARENA: Taking the Results of Archaeological Research a Step Further.

INTRODUCTION

"It will be a challenging but exciting task to construct and maintain a subject repository for archaeological literature"

[Xia 2011, 246]

This rather concluding remark of Xia seemed an adequate start for this paper. The presented project deals with a relevant effort to create and maintain a digital repository for the archaeological publications and research in the area of the North Aegean in Greece. Thus, this project chose a relevant acronym, "ARENA" (Archaeological REsearch in the North Aegean), which echoes, apart from the subject and geographic interest, its true contribution within the

□

Authors' addresses: Despoina Tsiafaki and Natasa Michailidou, Dpt. of Cultural Technologies, "Athena": Research & Innovation Center in Information, Communication & Knowledge Technologies, Greece; e-mail:(tsiafaki, amixaili)@athenarc.gr

archaeological discipline that can easily resemble a true arena. The ARENA project identifies similarly scholars and the general public as its target groups that will benefit from this work.

ARENA¹ is a project launched in 2017, running officially from April 2017 until the end of 2020. However, the actual collection of ARENA's data started from the beginning of 2018. The project will substantially create a digitised collection (constantly enlarging) regarding the archaeological research of Aegean Thrace and Northern Greece during the historic times before the Roman Era and it will open this assemblage to academics and the public. The collection and presentation of the relevant literature will fulfil a scientific gap, while it will contribute to the history and culture of the region. The knowledge generated will be exploited by the research domain, but it will also be accessible to both teachers and the tourism industry as well as to general users.

Thus, ARENA's primary objectives are to facilitate the archaeological research and fill existing gaps. Moreover, in a secondary level, it aims at offering popularized archaeological information to and satisfying the needs of certain groups such as the school community or visiting tourists.

Realizing the necessity for inclusion of other disciplines in the archaeological research, ARENA is a truly multidisciplinary project. Therefore, it takes advantage of the "Information and Communication Technologies" (ICT) possibilities and the accumulated knowledge from both sides, humanities and information technologies. In order to understand the project itself, it is essential to present the framework it is placed within.

ARENA FRAMEWORK

In general, the work within modern day archaeological research is changing due to various factors such as the crucial contribution of ICT with worldwide capabilities and extensions [Huvila 2018]. Thus, traditional methods (such as the format of publications, the exclusively academic orientation, a library's location etc.) are questioned, the limits are stretched and new potentialities are emerging. Shortcomings, such as the difficult access to publications, the scattered (digital) material that is hard to be handled and organised and the orientation of research that makes the engagement in thought provoking discussion for the general public difficult, are detected and discussed also within ARENA project. The effort to effectively manage a great corpus of archaeological literature, connect it with specific sites, present it interactively online with spatial and chronological features, and with specialists and non-specialists in mind, runs through the entire project and determines its methodology and desired outcomes.

Geographically, the area of interest is the North Aegean covering the part of ancient Thrace now within Greece (Fig. 1). Thus, the Aegean Sea is the south limit (with the islands of Thasos and Samothrace included), the borders with Turkey the east one and the Rhodope Mountains the north one. As for the western limits of ancient Thrace in general, these are harder to pinpoint, because of the constant movement of the numerous Thracian tribes inhabiting the area and the continuous development of the historical and political landscape before and after the formation of the Macedonian Kingdom [Samsaris 1980, 22-26; Pelekides 2000, 99; Tsiafaki 1998, 20; Veligianni-Terzi 2004, 10-13]. Since ancient Thrace at periods reached the area of Pieria (with river Strymon and river Nestos being later limits), this area defines the western limit, even though for a great part of the examined time it was not part of it. Data from the islands of Lesbos and Lemnos will only be considered in a supportive manner. Thus, to sum up, the research zone extends from the Thermaic Gulf until the borders with Turkey, including the coastal area in the Aegean and a part of the hinterland. This is a region where Greek colonies and local settlements co-existed for centuries.

Chronologically, ARENA covers the period from the 8th century BCE, namely from the beginning of the Greek colonization until the end of the Hellenistic era (31 BCE), and thus includes the Iron Age, Geometric, Archaic, Classical and Hellenistic times. This period forms an entity itself with clear distinctions from before and after. It is also of importance for ancient Greek history since it comprises a) the establishment of the Greek colonies in an area already populated, b) their development in significant trade and cultural centres communicating with the rest of the Greek world and the Thracians, and c) the Hellenistic era that faced so many changes in the political and cultural scene and ended up with the emerging Roman empire. At this point the chronological focus of ARENA leaves out the prehistoric and Roman times but the hope is that they may be included in the next project, ARENA+.

¹ <http://arena.ipet.gr>




Fig. 1. Map of North Greece with the area of interest to the ARENA project marked with bordeaux red and yellow

ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH IN THE NORTH AEGEAN

In order to understand the need for ARENA, let's briefly see the state of the archaeological research in the area of the North Aegean. That will be done through the presentation of the archaeological aspect and interest of the ARENA project in order to make the need for it and the gap that it comes to fill obvious.

The North Aegean area constitutes an important field of interest in archaeological research, especially during the last decades. After a reset of focus of the archaeological science from the great centres of southern Greece to the often called "periphery" of the Greek world and after some great discoveries especially in the region of Macedonia, the course of archaeological research changed and produces results that question established views [Archibald 2010, 85]. The Archaeological Service along with Universities and Research Centres conduct research and publish their work in the "Archaologikon Deltion" (ADelt), the publication of the Greek Archaeological Service (with a significant delay though), in articles, monographs and of course in the important annual conference "Archaeological Work in Macedonia and Thrace" (AEMTh) [Gkatzolis 2012].

Another aspect of the archaeological research in the project's area is its connection to an important issue of current scholarship, that concerning the periphery of the ancient Greek world, the fragmentation and the relationship of the ancient Greeks with the "others" [Xydopoulos 2004; 2007]. The ethnographically diverse area of Thrace, expanded over most of south-eastern Balkans, was rich in natural resources that attracted among others, the Greek interest during the Greek colonization (8th-6th cent. BCE) and later on, the interest of powerful city-states, such as Athens, and kingdoms, i.e. the Macedonian. So, the perception of the "Other" for local tribes and the political connections of the latter to the Greek world are issues concerning a great part of the archaeological and historical community and apply in the research of the area.

Thus, the scientific interest for the region appears quite extended, with numerous excavations and research taking place there. Apart from the Greek Archaeological Service and Greek University excavations, other organisations are active in the area. Among the latter are a) the Athens Archaeological Society [Petraikos 1987] and b) the foreign institutions, French School (Thasos, Philippoi), British School (Olynthos), Australian Archaeological Institute (Torone), American School of Classical Studies, through the Princeton University (Stryme) and Emory and New York Universities (Samothrace) etc. [Adam-Veleni 2017, 339]. Some of these present (part of) their current research in websites, along with printed publications, e.g. the website of the American team working in the Sanctuary of the

Great Gods on Samothrace² or the website of Olynthos project, a joint project of the Universities of Liverpool and Michigan, under the auspices of the British School at Athens³. In these websites selected relative publications are presented as lists, while relevant textual and multimedia information addressed to the general public and the educational community, too, is also presented through these websites but also through printed publications, some of which can be found in digital form in the educational portal of the Greek Ministry of Culture “Follow Odysseus”⁴.

This dispersion, in terms of archaeologists coming from different places, has of course beneficial effects, since they apply the most current international archaeological knowledge in the research of the Aegean Thrace [Loukaki 2008, 151-156]. However, this same dispersion, together with the scattering of archaeologically relevant publications among humanities, history and science and along with the special difficulties of the archaeological work, presents some drawbacks for the archaeological research in the area. The continuously growing number of publications, written in various languages, presented in various journals or conferences worldwide are not always known or accessible, while there is not one single institution making them available to the archaeological community and the public. Consequently, the accumulation and presentation of all this research and scholarly work from around the globe, carried out through decades, emerge as a necessity that will fulfil an important gap. Then again, the venture of attempting to organise and promote a relevant subject repository seems entering a scientific *arena*, trying to find ways to cope with it and make it worth meaning for the interested researchers and the general public.

RELEVANT PROJECTS

With the previous thoughts in mind the project’s acronym was selected, before another earlier ARENA project came to our attention (Archaeological Records of Europe - Networked Access), dealing also with Archaeology and more specifically with digital access and dissemination of primary archaeological data from excavations and archives from six different European countries [Kenny and Kilbride 2002; 2003]. Relevant projects for the digital management and sharing of excavation data within a specific country or internationally have and are still working on conveying the various formats of primary archaeological data to researchers and the potentially interested public to support research and heritage. ARIADNE (European) project for example [Meghini et al. 2017], gives the ability to the user to browse the catalogue through a map, a timeline and a thematic catalogue, but with very few datasets from Greece. At a national level there is, among others, the work of the Institute of Archaeology of the Czech Academy of Sciences [Kuna et al. 2017], that of the “Archaeological Data Service” (ADS) in the UK [Power et al. 2017], and “the Digital Archaeological Record” (tDAR), the digital repository at Arizona State University in the USA [Watts 2011].

Moreover, ADS hosts the ADS Library⁵, a collection holding 295864 bibliographic records coming from the “British and Irish Archaeological Bibliography” (BIAB), the ADS library of unpublished reports as well as documents from the ADS archives and archaeological publishers. In the other side of the Atlantic, the tDAR allows the user to search 383582 documents, see them in map view, in relation to the spatial coverage (but sometimes too generally placed, with the use of i.e. “Europe” as a geographic keyword for a document on a specific site) and also log in and download the results of a research to Excel⁶. Apart from born-digital projects, the gigantic work underway for the publication of the “Bibliographia classica orae septentrionalis Ponti Euxini” (BCOSPE) should be mentioned, which is a remarkable bibliographic achievement for the study of the Ancient History of the Black Sea coast [Cojocaru 2014; 2018].

As for the archaeological literature relevant to the examined region, efforts to present it have already started partly within other projects and publications. But they are not always in digital format or easily accessible. One of the latest publications is the fourth volume in the “Archaeology” series from Melissa publishing house, with “Macedonia and Thrace” as its focus, accompanied with rich and up to date bibliography on the region’s major sites [Vlachopoulos and Tsiafaki 2017]. Another publication is the journal “Archaeological Reports” (AR)⁷ published by the Society for the Promotion of Hellenic Studies and the British School at Athens, which appears to be the only annual account since 1955 of recent archaeological work in the entire Greece published in English. This periodical edition gathers information mainly from the ADelt, AEMTh conference, the annual accountability presentation of

² <https://samothrace.emory.edu/>

³ <https://sites.lsa.umich.edu/olynthos-project/>

⁴ <http://followodysseus.culture.gr>

⁵ <http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/library/index.xhtml>

⁶ <https://core.tdar.org/search/>

⁷ <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/archaeological-reports>

the Athens Archaeological Society “To Ergon tes En Athenais Archaologikes Hetaireias” (Ergon), Greek newspapers and reports from Foreign Schools and other individual scholars [Stamatopoulou 2017].

Another relevant electronic resource is “Archaeology in Greece Online/Chronique des fouilles en ligne” (AGOnline)⁸ by the British and French School at Athens, which provides illustrated site-by-site accounts for the whole country, including Northern Greece. AGOnline provides the latest information about current archaeological fieldwork in Greece with site entries mostly drawn from sources like those for the AR [Morgan 2010].

An effort that organises its literature collection according to ancient sites in Greece and the North Aegean specifically and provide, apart from this bibliography list, a summary on the site, too, is the “Art & Archaeology Artifact Browser”⁹ of the Perseus Digital Library [Smith and Crane 2001]. Another earlier project is the “Thracian Electronic Thesaurus”¹⁰. This repository was research oriented and holds 2674 bibliographic records, 25 inscriptions and 2255 ancient written sources, all associated with 850 (ancient till modern) sites in the Greek Thrace [Grammatikopoulou 2000]. The “Regional Guide of Eastern Macedonia and Thrace”¹¹ can be considered as a sequel of the previous project with the difference that it was more oriented to the general public and the visitors of the area (and consequently its references, too), covering apart from the culture of the area extra themes like “Environment”, “Tourism” etc. In the rest of Greece, the “Archaeological Atlas of Crete”¹², an archaeological inventory of sites, integrated with geo-information, bibliography on the sites and statistical data is worth mentioning [Sarris et al. 2008].

Thus, through all these indicative examples it becomes obvious that it is the right time for a project like ARENA, with focus on archaeological literature (the basis of any kind of research) and supported even more by the current interest on and status of the presentation and access of the archaeological work done in Northern Greece.

THE ARENA PROJECT

Within this framework the principal objective of ARENA is the accumulation, management and presentation of the archaeological research conducted in the northern Greek territory. This work aims to form the basis for future content integration i.e. from publications regarding the rest of the Aegean Thrace researched by Turkish colleagues.

The goal of ARENA is the transformation of the traditional research into digital archaeology accessible and usable to everyone. This transformation will take the results of the archaeological research a step further for academics and the general public. ARENA substantially gathers in an online repository and will make accessible through a portal the large corpus of the relevant academic publications. It will also make this list of publications accessible via digital means, including an interactive map and timeline.

The key element for the ARENA project is the archaeological sites. These sites act as the reference point for the literature gathered, along with ancient written sources and inscriptions, which will be also linked with each site. All the sites will be presented online in an interactive map with chronological features connected to it. When ARENA started solely 79 archaeological sites were easily found in the area of interest, while after 18 months of work the list counts 620 sites. The sources used are various, such as collective volumes, monographs regarding a site or a general theme of interest, series regarding a site, conference proceedings (like AEMTh), journal articles local or international (i.e. “ADelt”, “Thrakika Chronika”, “Bulletin de Correspondance Hellénique”), grey literature (masters or doctoral theses), excavation websites (e.g. Samothrace) etc. So far 4225 bibliographic entries have been gathered in the online repository. They are associated with keywords (ancient site, site category, time frame, population, subject etc.) that help the search ability and will enable further research, they are checked for accuracy and, where available, they are linked with files formatted as Portable Documents (pdf).

ARENA is an interdisciplinary project implemented by archaeologists, historians, museologists, tourist guides, classical philologists and experts in informatics. Since the priority of ARENA is to cover the archaeological demands, the decisions on the technological part have not been completed yet. They will be based on the specifications set by archeology, history and philology and therefore relevant information on the chosen technology will be presented in future papers. As for the archaeologists responsible for registering the bibliographic entries in

⁸ <http://www.chronique.efa.gr/index.php/>

⁹ <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/artifactBrowser>

¹⁰ <http://www.xanthi.ilsp.gr/thraki/>

¹¹ <http://www.ipet.gr/cultureportalweb/index.php?lang=en>

¹² <http://digitalcrete.ims.forth.gr/#arch2>

the developed repository, they follow the traditional methodology: the bibliographical references of each publication to be recorded are also checked, in order to understand if they are of interest to ARENA. The open source application chosen by the technology experts' team, to act as a basis for the backend digital repository of the bibliography, is EPrints by the University of Southampton (Fig. 2) [Tramboo et al. 2012].




Fig. 2. Screenshot of the literature repository

This repository software also works as the recording tool for the ancient written sources and the inscriptions related to the sites of interest [Loukopoulou et al. 2005]. Material from the “Thracian Electronic Thesaurus”, the “Thesaurus Linguae Graecae” (TLG)¹³, “The Perseus Catalog”¹⁴ and “SearchCulture”¹⁵ will be gathered and associated with the various sites.

An online gate, using the WordPress capabilities, will be used for the frontend presentation of the gathered content at ARENA project¹⁶. The users will view and research the archaeological literature, ancient sources or inscriptions accumulated and organised per site. Moreover, their search will be executed with various ways: a) through free text search in the complete content list, with features for sorting available, too, b) through a map view with all the ancient sites marked as spots, collected with the help of digital projects, such as Pleiades¹⁷ and ToposText¹⁸, and with the exact location double checked through the relevant maps in the Catalogue of the Listed Archaeological Sites and Monuments, c) through a timeline view according to the timespan of or recorded events for each ancient settlement, or d) through combined features.

The available information per site will include, for the general public seen as potential visitors, a summary text (prepared for non-specialists), information for actual visits to the site (if this is possible), a list of the literature and multimedia material (photographs, drawings, 3D models etc.). Another target group using the ARENA portal could be the educational community, especially teachers and pupils living, working in or being related to this geographical region. Special consideration will be given for these users, with resources gathered and prepared by archaeologists of the ARENA team who are also museologists, tourist guides and public-school teachers. The interested members of the school community will find a selection of the most important archaeological sites documented in a way satisfying the needs of the school curriculum and of a school visit. This documentation will gather a standard set of material for each site comprising of a relevant plan with the main spots of interest and a proposed route, related photographs, videos, reconstructions, a basic timeline, educational material, the literature and practical information such as opening hours of the sites, contact details etc. This set of material is considered to be prepared not only for the most known sites, but also for others less known, in order for the schools to become acquainted with them. Consequently, this popularization effort of the archaeological research may be of help also for tourists and locals.

Lastly, since a primary goal of ARENA is to become a vivid source of information on the archaeological research of the region, the participation of scholars who work and are involved with the work done in the area plays an important role. Therefore, professionals of the related fields will be able (or invited) to register and become members of ARENA. They will access (apart from the previous) a more analytical text and the full literature list

¹³ <http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/>

¹⁴ <http://catalog.perseus.org/>

¹⁵ <https://www.searchculture.gr/>

¹⁶ <http://arena.ipet.gr>

¹⁷ <https://pleiades.stoa.org/>

¹⁸ <https://topostext.org/>

with links to files, where available, as well as links to the associated ancient written sources and inscriptions, all spatially and chronologically associated. These users will be also able to contribute to the ARENA repositories with material, thus continually evolving ARENA.

CONCLUSIONS

After this brief description of the principal philosophy and functionalities of ARENA repository and gate, the following elements have to be underlined: 1) The ARENA project substitutes an important digital corpus of a diverse and sizable archaeological literature, with publications from the 19th century onwards, collecting potentially all references for the archaeology of Aegean Thrace and for the periods between 8th century to 31 BCE, along with the digital files of the actual publications (where available). In this way, ARENA goes beyond the accumulation and presentation of a static and indicative only literature list, with only the latest publications per archaeological site, as done in other relevant projects. 2) ARENA makes use of digital maps and organizes the content gathered per site, checking and correcting misplacements, making use of digitally published maps in official acts and documents of the Greek state and taking literature lists a step further, as for their completeness but also their geographic distribution, viewing and sorting. 3) ARENA tries to create an active community of users interested in the archaeology of Aegean Thrace, with access to the content gathered and eventual user generated additions to the repository, even after the completion of the project. This community will certainly help for the dissemination of the project in the worldwide academic society and for the multiplication of the benefits that ARENA offers. 4) Apart from the scientific world, ARENA considers the general public that would be interested in the archaeological sites and literature of the specific area and time frame and incorporates content suitable for locals, visitors, schools. The project offers not a virtual visit to the sites or touristic information only, but instead the necessary guiding material to assist the actual visit at least to some of these sites. 5) Last, ARENA puts a peripheral area of the ancient Greek world and archaeology into the modern era of digital archaeology.

Thus, the ARENA project through its multidisciplinary approach transforms the traditional archaeological research into digital archaeology and places it within the framework of the current international research. ARENA aims to act as a benchmark tool for the research of Aegean Thrace's archaeology, providing an open and easy to access repository of the academic publications. This platform, maintained by the "Athena" Research Centre, will be enriched even after the completion of the project by user-generated content and participation of scholars that will become members of the ARENA community and contribute to it (potentially in the future even for literature regarding other periods of the whole of Aegean Thrace). In such a way it will function as a vivid digital hub and source of information for the archaeological activity in the area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The key team members of the ARENA project in the "Athena" Research Centre, apart from the authors of this paper, are the following: K. Stavroglou (Electrical & Computer Engineer, Head of IT Department), Dr. C. Kiourt (Computer Scientist, Assistant Researcher), I. Mourthos (Archaeologist, Research Associate), Dr. A. Vougioukli (Classical Philologist, Research Associate). As for the work done for the educational community Dr. V. Evangelidis (Archaeologist, Research Associate) recently joined the team. We acknowledge support of this work by the project "Computational Science and Technologies: Data, Content and Interaction" (MIS 5002437) which is implemented under the Action "Reinforcement of the Research and Innovation Infrastructure", funded by the Operational Programme "Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation" (NSRF 2014-2020) and co-financed by Greece and the European Union (European Regional Development Fund).

REFERENCES

- Polyxeni Adam-Veleni. 2017. Archaeology in Macedonia. Then and Now. In Andrew Shapland & Evangelia Stefani eds. *Archaeology Behind the Battle Lines: The Macedonian Campaign (1915-19) and Its Legacy*. London: Routledge, 327-355.
- Zosia Archibald. 2011. Archaeology in Greece 2010-2011: Macedonia and Thrace (Prehistoric to Roman). *Archaeological Reports* 57 (November 2011), 85-99. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0570608411000111>
- Victor Cojocaru. 2014. *Bibliographia classica orae septentrionalis Ponti Euxini. I. Epigraphica, numismatica*,

- onomastica & prosopographica*, Cluj-Napoca: Editura MEGA.
- Victor Cojocaru. 2018. *Bibliographia classica orae septentrionalis Ponti Euxini. II. Archaeologica*, Cluj-Napoca: Editura MEGA.
- Christos Gkatzolis ed. 2012. Είκοσι χρόνια αρχαιολογικό έργο στη Μακεδονία και στη Θράκη. Η δεύτερη δεκαετία 1997-2006 και ο επετειακός τόμος 20 χρόνια ΑΕΜΘ. Πρόσωπα και τόποι, Thessaloniki: Archaeological Museum of Thessaloniki.
- Eleni Grammatikopoulou. 2000. *Θράκη: Ιστορικές και Γεωγραφικές Προσεγγίσεις*, Athens: National Hellenic Research Foundation.
- Isto Huvila ed. 2018. *Archaeology and Archaeological Information in the Digital Society*, Abingdon & New York: Routledge.
- Jon Kenny and William Kilbride. 2002. Networked Access to Digital Archaeological Archives in the European Area. *CSA Newsletter* 15, 2 (Fall 2002). Retrieved May 10, 2018 from <http://csanet.org/newsletter/fall02/nlf0202.html>
- Jon Kenny and William Kilbride. 2003. Europe's Digital Inheritance: ARENA archives launched. *CSA Newsletter* 16, 1 (Spring 2003). Retrieved May 10, 2018 from <http://csanet.org/newsletter/spring03/nls0302.html>
- Martin Kuna, David, Jan Hasil and Dana Křivánková. Archaeological Map of the Czech Republic. 2017. Current state and future visions of virtual research tools in the Czech Republic. *Internet Archaeology*, 43 (2017). DOI:<https://doi.org/10.11141/ia.43.10>
- Argyro Loukaki. 2008. *Living Ruins, Value Conflicts*, Oxon & New York: Routledge.
- Louisa Loukopoulou, Antigoni Zournatzi, Maria-Gabriella G. Parissaki and Selene Psoma. 2005. *Επιγραφές της Θράκης του Αιγαίου μεταξύ των ποταμών Νέστου και Έβρου (Νομοί Ξάνθης, Ροδόπης και Έβρου)*, Athens: National Hellenic Research Foundation.
- Carlo Meghini et al. 2017. ARIADNE: A Research Infrastructure for Archaeology. *Journal on Computing and Cultural Heritage* 10, 3 (August 2017), 18:1-18:27.
- Catherine Morgan. 2010. Introduction. *Archaeological Reports* 56 (November 2010), 1-2. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0570608410000013>
- Chrysis Pelekides. 2000. Οι Έλληνες στη Θράκη In *Θράκη*. Athens: Region of Eastern Macedonia and Thrace, 98-114.
- Vasileios Petrakos. 1987. *Η Εν Αθήναις Αρχαιολογική Εταιρεία. Η ιστορία των 150 χρόνων της, 1837-1987*. Athens: The Archaeological Society at Athens.
- Christopher Power et al. 2017. Improving Archaeologists' Online Archive Experiences through User-Centred Design. *Journal on Computing and Cultural Heritage* 10, 1 (April 2017), 3:1-3:20.
- Dimitris Samsaris. 1980. *Ο εξελληνισμός της Θράκης κατά την ελληνική και ρωμαϊκή αρχαιότητα*, Thessaloniki: University of Ioannina.
- Apostolos Sarris et al. 2008. A Web-GIS Approach to Cultural Resources Management in Crete: the Digital Archaeological Atlas of Crete. In *Layers of Perception. Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology (CAA 2007)*. Retrieved May 10, 2018 from https://proceedings.caaconference.org/files/2007/74_Sarris_et_al_CAA2007.pdf
- David A. Smith and Gregory Crane. 2001. Disambiguating Geographic Names in a Historical Digital Library. In *Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Research and Advanced Technology for Digital Libraries (ECDL '01)*. 127-136.
- Maria Stamatopoulou. 2017. Introduction & Overview. *Archaeological Reports* 63 (November 2017), 1-8. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0570608418000029>
- Shahkar Trambo, Humma, S M Shafi and Sumeer Gul. 2012. A Study on the Open Source Digital Library Software's: Special Reference to DSpace, EPrints and Greenstone. *International Journal of Computer Applications* 59, 16 (December 2012), 1-9. DOI:10.5120/9629-4272
- Despoina Tsiafaki. 1998. *Η Θράκη στην αττική εικονογραφία του 5ου αι. π.Χ. Προσεγγίσεις στις σχέσεις Αθήνας και Θράκης*, Komotini: Educational Committee of Komotini.
- Chryssoula Veligianni-Terzi. 2004. *Οι Ελληνίδες πόλεις και το βασίλειο των Οδρυσών από Αβδήρων πόλεως μέχρι Ιστρου ποταμού* Thessaloniki: Kyriakidis Brothers.
- Andreas Vlachopoulos and Despoina Tsiafaki eds. 2017. *Archaeology: Macedonia and Thrace*, Athens: Melissa Publishing House.
- Joshua Watts. 2011. Policies, Preservation, and Access to Digital Resources: The Digital Antiquity 2010 National Repositories Survey. *Reports in Digital Archaeology* 2 (September 2011). Retrieved May 10, 2018 from https://www.digitalantiquity.org/wp-uploads/2011/07/20111215_Final.pdf
- Jingfeng Xia. 2011. Open access for archaeological literature: a manager's perspective. In Eric Christopher Kansa, Sarah Witcher Kansa & Ethan Watrall, eds. *Archaeology 2.0: New Approaches to Communication and*

Collaboration. Los Angeles: Cotsen digital archaeology series 1, 233-49.

Ioannis Xydopoulos. 2004. The Thracian Image in the Archaic Literature. The absence of Otherness. *Περί Θράκης* 4 (2004), 11-22.

Ioannis Xydopoulos. 2007. *Η εικόνα των Θρακών στην κλασική ιστοριογραφία* Thessaloniki: Kyriakidis Brothers.

Imprint:

Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Cultural Heritage and New Technologies 2018.

CHNT 23, 2018 (Vienna 2019). <http://www.chnt.at/proceedings-chnt-23/>

ISBN 978-3-200-06576-5

Editor/Publisher: Museen der Stadt Wien – Stadtarchäologie

Editorial Team: Wolfgang Börner, Susanne Uhlirz

The editor's office is not responsible for the linguistic correctness of the manuscripts.

Authors are responsible for the contents and copyrights of the illustrations/photographs.