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Abstract: Each building archaeology project includes several phases: a preliminary stage in which the aims of the project are identified, a fieldwork phase in which all the necessary records are collected and a final phase of restitution in which the recordings are analyzed and oriented on each topographical point with the production of orthophotos to achieve a high level of knowledge of the architectural structure taken under analysis. At this point, all the archaeological cognitive instruments (like stratigraphic interpretation, cronotipology of the material used, construction techniques, characteristics of the mortars, mensiocronology) can be applied to the stonework in order to obtain the necessary information to understand the work in progress history of the building. Thanks to the introduction of digital methods, information recording has undergone a revolution that has allowed the management of numerous archives. In this context, I suggest to analyze the importance of managing a digital archive of all the metadata related to it and the opportunity to use Google Maps tool “Google Street View” as a special data storage of images. On these bases, we attempted to reconstruct a 3D model of SS. Stefano e Silvestro Church in the small town of Castelnuovo (L’Aquila-Italy) in the district of San Pio delle Camere, which was completely destroyed by the April 2009 earthquake. The wireframe of the church was rebuilt by deducing the minimum metric information, using the plan of the church derived from the archive of the land register. I used the helpful tool AutoCAD, relying wholly on Google Street View images and topographical points taken after the quake. A good result, if we consider the images low resolution, but it has to be taken into account that Google holds the original photos and the so called .raw files containing all the metadata necessary to provide the technical information.
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Introduction
Each building archaeology project includes several phases: a preliminary stage in which the aims of the project are identified, a fieldwork phase in which all the necessary records are collected and a final phase of restitution in which the recordings are analyzed and oriented on each topographical point with the production of orthophotos to achieve a high level of knowledge of the architectural structure taken under analysis. At this point, all the archaeological cognitive instruments (like stratigraphic interpretation, cronotipology of the material used, construction techniques, characteristics of the mortars, mensiocronology) can be applied to the stonework in order to obtain the necessary information to understand the work in progress history of the building. Thanks to the introduction of digital methods, information recording has undergone a revolution that has allowed the management of numerous archives. In this context, I suggest to analyze the importance of managing a digital archive of all the metadata related to it and the opportunity to use Google Maps tool “Google Street View” as special data storage of images. On these bases, we attempted to reconstruct a 3D
model of SS. Stefano e Silvestro Church in the small town of Castelnuovo (L'Aquila-Italy) in the district of San Pio delle Camere, which was completely destroyed by the April 2009 earthquake.

Principal phases of building archaeology’s project

Building archaeology, in order to obtain historical knowledge and to know significant evolutions of architectural structure, uses various surveying systems with the support of photogrammetry software that improves this topographical work. Each project can have a different method that depends on the specific aims of the project, but in general terms the knowledge of architectural structure can be divided in different phases: preliminary phase, fieldwork phase and post processing phase.

Preliminary phase

The first part of the project is a decisive moment in which the user has necessarily to evaluate the aims of the project. The outcome of a survey project has to be achieved through a cooperation between the surveyor and the supposed survey user in order to meet its specific purpose, for example a restoration work. The final uses of survey data are different and may include monitoring, project planning, stylistic analysis, ante-disaster records, condition records and intervention plans. A short statement of why a survey is needed will help a correct decision on the method of data capture which is required for optimum data usability.

Fieldwork

Metric survey techniques can be divided into two groups: indirect and direct. Indirect techniques (such as photogrammetry and laser scanning) are used when there is a need for undifferentiated metric data or when the size of the subject and its scale of representation require a high density of point capture. The primary data sets from indirect techniques are largely free of data differentiation other than that imposed by the constraints of the capture method itself. As undifferentiated data, the products of indirect techniques will need to be processed, and it is in this post-capture phase that the selection and presentation of information needs to be controlled. Indirect techniques need careful planning to maximize their benefit. The purpose of data acquisition must be apparent to all in the information processing path from capture to presentation. Since the late 1850s there has been no dispute over the merit of the controlled stereo photograph as a primary ante-disaster tool: the restitution of displaced material by anastylosis is massively improved with the use of ante-disaster stereo records (English Heritage 2009). The acquisition of ante-disaster data sets has long-term benefits that cannot be overlooked when making assessments of risk to valuable and irreplaceable historic fabric. The ante-disaster performance of indirect techniques is compromised if recorders fail to understand the significance and value of the subject. Indirect techniques provide mass data capture within a time-scale unmatched by direct techniques and the failure to deploy them when appropriate may result in an unnecessarily high expenditure of resources. Direct techniques (such as using a Total Station Theodolite (TST), Global Positioning System (GPS) or measured drawing) are selection driven at capture and dependent on the surveyor’s skill at implementing information requirements during the measurement phase. Direct techniques do not have the flexibility of indirect methods because of their differentiated nature. They are highly effective at meeting the thematic focus of many recording tasks as they maximize the expertise of
a specialist in the field, they are rapid, they minimize post-capture processing and they convey domain specific information efficiently.

**Post processing phase**

This phase is concerning the actual drawing, in which the most significant features of the structure’s architecture are put into a foreground instead of the rest of the structure. It is an important phase in which the operators introduce an "interpretation" of the data obtained during the measurement phase, although they are moving on a real and objective vision of the photos. If the operation is carried out in a digital way, the result must be a vectorial and metric, three-dimensional and geo-referenced drawing (space oriented on the topographic). Restitution softwares must allow this type of procedure:

- render the internal orientation of each photo,
- render the relative orientation for the two (and other) photos,
- render the absolute orientation of the model that has been decided in the previous stage of relative orientation,
- render the collocation in the space on an appropriate reference system,
- transfer the geometric information contained in the photos in graphical and/or numerical form, on paper (properly so-called the phase of “restitution”).

However, even in later times, it is always possible to derive new information or verification carried out from the photogrammetric survey, since it is a permanent recording of all the recorded architectural structure. The survey restitution, despite the objective use of a tool such as photography, remains a critical operation and a sort of interpretation: from photography in order to record as much information as possible, a graphical representation of the image is required, together with the most significant elements of architecture. Indeed, it is at this time that we proceed to the real purpose of which photogrammetry was only a half, or start stratigraphic analysis involves the identification of the main USM (“unità statigrafica muraria”, a minimum unit identified) and finally reaches the periodization in activities, periods, phases and events. The stratigraphic analysis is assisted by:

- identification of the construction model (towers, churches, residences, fortifications, etc.);
- structure (load-bearing vertical and horizontal scales, etc.);
- construction techniques used (it was founded, floor levels, insertion of ceramic structures);
- presence or absence of inscriptions (which is always a great element dating);
- materials characteristics (type of materials used, size of individual pieces);
- working surface (partial or any);
- type of installation (characteristics of the mortar or a binder rather than another, lines of the bricks);
- size of quotations or bricks themselves to define a mensiocronology;
- finishing (plastering is common, are also traces of the tools used). (BROGIOLO 1988)

Taking into consideration all these factors (as well as other questions that arise during the study of the product), it is possible to delineate a relative chronology of the building structure and therefore to provide valuable support, for example, for a restoration project. In any case, this work allows you to have complete documentation and therefore the systematic application of these methodologies in the long term: the creation
of databases has a great interest for artistic Heritage and the use to all those involved in industry, current and future.

**Photogrammetry**

Photogrammetry is both the most economical survey method available and also produces the best overall accuracy (VALLE & RODRIGUEZ 2010). It is most effective when applied to subjects where line detail is easily identified, such as an ashlar wall. As the final product is a CAD drawing, it can easily be edited by the end-user, like drawings and photographs that amplify and illuminate a record. In many cases they are a more efficient way of capturing data than either drawings or written description, but they are also valuably supplement and to verify drawn or written records.

Historic-building records must provide information that is accessible and readily intelligible to a range of professional, academic and lay users. In producing and curating them certain guiding principles should be borne in mind:

- a record should chart the historical development of the building or site, explaining and illustrating what is significant and providing dates for significant parts or phases of development wherever possible;
- a record should aim to be accurate, clear and concise;
- the scope and level of the record and its limitations should be stated;
- a record should make a clear distinction between observation and interpretation, thereby allowing data to be reinterpreted at a later date;
- wherever practicable a record should take account of the site’s context, including its wider archaeology, known and potential, whether in terms of below-ground deposits or of landscape archaeology;
- a record should include an indication of any sources consulted;
- a record should identify its compilers and give the date of creation, and any subsequent amendments should be similarly endorsed;
- a record should seek to embody the benefits of peer review;
- the report and supporting material should be produced in a medium which can be copied easily and which ensures archival stability;
- a record should be made accessible through deposit in a permanent archive and signposting in a recognized internet finding aid (English Heritage 2009).

**Data storage and data management of digital image**

Digital image can be considered the revolution in the latest years in the field of photographic restitution softwares. Nowadays, a main part of photogrammetry is realized with the use of digital images. The development of still digital cameras in recent years has presented the possibility of capturing images of a quality once obtainable only with film. While film images currently contain more information than most digital images, and have a proven longevity, digital capture can deliver good quality provided a high-resolution camera (with a field exceeding about five megapixels) is used. The digitalization consists in converting an
analogic image in a series of graphic elements called pixel (picture element) or in other words a series of smallest black and white squares, with specific hues in a scale of greys or colors. In a field of building archaeology, a dimension of pixel like that with high definition image allows to distinguish many details on the surface of the stonework. On the base of these metric information, it can be possible to fix: traces of the production on the rocks, position and dimension of the junct, measurement of the bricks, weaving of the wall structure, types of the arches or of the other openings (windows, arches, doors). These are fundamental data in order to obtain the guidelines for knowledge of the characteristic elements of the historical building. Photographic pictures contain visual information about the elements together with high resolution and low cost. They can be used metrically with different degrees of accuracy: from the dimensional analysis through perspective of single images to photogrammetry. A photographic collection is far more than a stack of image files stocked in a hard disk, but it is a reserve of information than can be recovered in the future. However, to try to reach this aim, it is necessary to associate the images with some information concerning the following aspects:

**Context**
Apart from the representation of the objects, the work of the photographs must register information about the context: what is documented and why, where the image was taken, who took it and which copyrights are attached to the image, etc. This aspect is closely related with the classification and the descriptive metadata. Classification and recovery are powerfully interconnected: when an image is being classified we should think about what an user in the future will try to look for and how. That is, the user will probably use a searching point where they will introduce some keywords in order to find appropriate images. The search will be successful only if our images are attached to this searching point and the keywords are the same we used to classify them. The best choice is use an interconnection of data banks and the use of controlled terminologies or thesauruses.

**Radiometric**
The color that we have in the images depends on the atmospheric conditions and the shooting parameters, and, it is necessary to calibrate the radiometric response of the sensors in order to compare different images. Furthermore, it is interesting and useful knowing the parameters of the shot, so called technical metadata, that are normally stored in the raw images but which can disappear in its derivatives in addition we should include reference elements in the scene.

**Metric**
Supplemental information is also necessary to extract metric data from the photographs: on the one hand, the internal geometry of the camera this information can be embedded in the own images as technical metadata (for example in Exif: exchangeable image file format for digital still cameras) or be an external file that has to be attached. On the other hand, more than one photograph is needed to compute coordinates (strip processing, bundle adjustment, etc.) so we will need a way to link the different images that compose each group. Finally there will be more information, such as the location of the control points and their coordinates that will come in separate files. All in all photographs cannot be considered by its group and also with external files like a certificate of calibration, a sketch with the position of the control points and a list with the coordinates of these points.
It is essential to save the raw files because they contain all the information obtained by the cameras and technical metadata. Raw files are proprietary formats of each company, so they are unsuitable for data preservation. One interesting alternative is the format DNG (Digital NeGative) (ADOBE 2012), developed and supported by Adobe®; although it is not a standard, it is widely used and its specifications are published and can be openly accessed. After one year of its introduction, numerous companies like Extensis, Canto, Apple, iView have developed the same file than can be read the DNG. Furthermore, well know companies like Hasselblad, Leica, Casio, Ricoh and Samsung have introduced in the market the new cameras with the direct DNG support. Next, context must be added, we can use the IPTC (International Press Telecommunications Council) (IPTC 2012) metadata schema, if possible with controlled vocabularies. These images will be our masters that we will preserve carefully and from which we will derive new images for specific purposes such as metric use, advertising, etc. These new images will have different features: resolution, metadata, file format and so forth, depending on the purpose and the software where they will be used. We also need to link the files with their additional information (calibration, coordinates, etc.) which is seldom done by the software to manage photographs. There are some alternatives, either using a general purpose database or wrapping the data with a multilevel code (EAD, METS,...) or build up a brand-new system (for example a multimedia based on HTML). In order to maintain a collection at our disposal without difficulties, it is necessary cover two features: physical and format. As regards the first feature, the information will not be lost due to degradation of the carrier where it is registered. This trouble can be avoided by means of backup copies stored separately, refreshment to new carriers every accorded time. As regards the second feature, in the future there will be software able to recognize the file format and use it with all its capabilities. The use of standards is obligatory to fulfill this aim. Nevertheless, every format becomes obsolete in a few years, so migration should be considered which could be problematic if we are considering not only single image files, but also their metadata and links (VALLE & RODRIGUEZ 2010).

Google’s tool: “Google Street View”

Google Street View is an innovative technology featured in Google Maps and Google Earth that provides panoramic views from positions along many streets in the world. This type of technology provides panoramic views at 360° horizontally and at 290° vertically of the streets, similar to what you see while walking or driving along those same streets. Google Street View was introduced in the United States on 2007 (Denver, Las Vegas, New York, Miami and San Francisco) and until July 2008 it covered areas of the United States. By 2009, United Kingdom started off on Google Street View with numerous cities like London, Belfast, Cambridge, Edinburgh and Glasgow. Now service is available not only in the United States, but also in Europe and in other countries: the service coverage is frequently updated on the official site (GOOGLE STREET VIEW 2013) (Fig. 1). Google Street View was introduced in Italy in October 2008, adding the main cities like Rome, Milan and Florence, but lately the coverage has been reached on all the Italian territory. These images were taken, using special cameras and other special equipment that can obtain images connected with specific locations through GPS system.
After the acquisition, the images are “glued” with one another in order to create a panoramic view at 360°. The cameras were collocated on the sunroof of the cars, so called “Google Cars”, but in pedestrian areas (for example the inner cities), gardens, university campus and dirty tracks Google Trike, a pedal tricycle with a 4th generation camera were used in order to take images where cars cannot reach. The ensuing camera is a Dodeca 2360 System, with eleven lenses that capture images with high resolution at 360°, from every direction, simultaneously at more than 100 million pixels per second (or 30 frames per second). It is very light and compact (weights 11 kg) and it can be positioned on moving vehicles or adapted to every application, for example on cyclist's backpacks. The IM system is based on the dodecahedron, a sphere with twelve faces. The Dodeca system enlarges the frames that were captured by every lens, then it makes a mosaic of every frame and finally it produces a large frame of all the surrounding environment (Fig. 2-3) (DODECA SYSTEM 2013).
Where the service is available, Street View images appear after zooming in beyond the highest zooming level in maps and satellite images, and also by dragging a “pegman” icon into a location on a map. When dragging the pegman icon, blue lines on the map showing Street View imagery will appear: using the mouse the horizontal and vertical viewing direction and zoom level can be selected. A solid or broken line in the photo shows the approximate path followed by the camera car, and arrows link to the next photo in each direction. At junctions and crossings of camera car routes, more arrows are shown. By using Google Maps, users can turn on stereoscopic 3D mode by right-clicking in Street View to get an anaglyphic version of any Street View images.

Google operators created, inadvertently, unique and exceptional data storage of images. This type of work can be considered great substance for any type of uses. It is a data storage from which information and elements useful for building’s archeology, like stratigraphic interpretation of the walls can be obtained. For example, in the specific case of an earthquake or any catastrophic event, Google storage has the registration of all information, and it can be a useful help in order to know all formal, material and dimensional features. Without the storage, the information would be lost (except for previous available documentation). The photos with this type of camera are undoubtedly images with high resolution and they own technical metadata than can define metric data, and consequently it can be used for a photogrammetric project. At this point, it is necessary to clarify that it is possible to use the screenshots of Google Street View, but this type of photo had been modified by during the upload process and the mosaicking process in order to adapt it to the browser, so in the end it loses its high resolution. On the other hand, Google owns every original photo together with the raw files, which contains all metadata necessaries to know technical information. It wasn’t possible to gain access to the original catalogue in Mountain View (California), because the service preserves users’ privacy. Privacy is an issue that came across in the case of online consultation, which gave life to a large sue in 2007. Google Street View has indeed a high level of detail in pictures, so it makes possible to identify people and number plates.

Case Study: Modeling 3D Ss. Stefano e Silvestro Church

Preliminary situation
At this point, we can confirm that Google Street View images are good resources to enter in a digital storage that could give an unlimited number of information. On these bases, we attempted to reconstruct a 3D model of SS. Stefano e Silvestro Church, in the small town of Castelnuovo (L’Aquila-Italy) in the district of San Pio delle Camere, which was completely destroyed by the April 2009 earthquake. (Fig. 4).
Methodology

The procedure of a 3D model construction is divided in two main parts: the first part of the project consist of drawing the geometric solid (so-called wireframe) of the structure and the second part is the texture rendering. In the phase of planning, the main necessity is to obtain a project compatible with the final product, which must be subjected to the data’s fruition. In this project we can see only the first part of the drawing, because Google Street View pictures are in lower resolution and the pictures’ quality deals with a further loss of resolution after the process of geometrical correction. With this level of resolution, it is impossible to complete a wireframe with suitable texture. The amazing aspect of the part of the project is the fact that the pictures can give metric information in order to reconstruct the building wireframe. In order to rebuild the wireframe, we use two types of methodological approaches: 1. Measurements on ortophotos 2. Inverse prospective method.

Given data

The elements at our disposal are only the images from Google Street View, taken before the quake (Fig. 5-6) and topographical points taken by a total station, after the quake. It is noted that the topographical points are in a .txt file and the images are screenshots, not the original copies. I deduced the plan of the church from the topographical points, using AutoCAD tool (Fig. 7).
Fig. 5 – Façade from Google Street View (Copyright: Google)

Fig. 6 – Southern façade and bell tower (before geometric correction) from Google Street View (Copyright: Google)
First method: Measurement on orthophotos

It is necessary to have two photos of the building with minimum metric information in order to apply this method. As discussed previously, Google Street View images are screenshots from internet with low resolution and therefore do not give us any metric information. In order to overcome this lack of information, we resort to the use of the plan of the church (Fig. 7), which was deduced from the topographical points taken with the total station after the earthquake.

![Fig. 7 – Plant of the church deduced from the topographical points](image)

Using AutoCAD tool, we can insert the pictures that show the southern façade (the image where the bell tower is represented), and at this point the image is scaled according to the distance known from the survey. In this case, what we know is just the distance from point 1 to point 2 (Fig. 8).

This distance the scale of the whole image and consequently of the complete 3D model. On the other hand, the frontal façade and the bell tower in the pictures don’t have a complete view. For this type of pictures (without frontal view) it is necessary to use a photogrammetry’s software. In my project, I used open source software called Modelado, (EHU, 2013) that can upload the picture and at the same time the text file that contains the topographical points. In the same main screen of Modelado, (Fig. 9) it is possible to indicatively pinpoint the topographical points on the picture and in this way to calculate the final product.
After the process of rectifying, the picture can be inserted in AutoCAD with the same scale system of the south façade, scale based on the church’s plan. At this point, not only the resolution changes, but also the dimension loses several inches compared to the original. This approach doesn’t have extreme precision, and
in the final phase, in which the whole model is scaled metrically, will not be perfect. We proceed in the same way for two façades of the bell tower: rectify the picture and after that we can scale it, based on measurements in common with the pictures before scaled. After we have taken all the measurements, we can be inserted church’s plan in AutoCAD, calculated from topographical points; now it is possible insert all the coordinates \((x, y, z)\) of the distances measured on each reference photo scaled above.

![Fig. 10 – The distance from point 1 to point 3](image)

For example: in order to reconstruct the line from the point 1-3,(Fig. 10) we can insert as point coordinates \(1 (x, y, z)\) that we know thanks to the distance 1-2, then the coordinates of point 3, also thanks to a distance that we know. It has to be considered that each reconstructed line has to be reconstructed using a reference line on the same plane. The upper part of the south façade, for example is not located on the same plane of the measure derived from the plane in which the lower part has been scaled. Therefore a new reference line derived from the plant has to be defined.

**Second method: Inverse perspective method**

The chapel (located on the south side of the church) was reconstructed using inverse perspective method (Fig.11).

It is a geometrical method used for various purposes, for example when taking photos with a wrong and distorted perspective. In this case, it is necessary remove the effect of the “linee cadenti” with the use of optical methods. Variations of optical flow can be due to both, perspective foreshortening and the 3D
structure of the scene. Since we are only interested in the latter part, the presence of elevated points we could try to eliminate the effects of perspective by means of a coordinate transform.

Figure 12 shows how this can be done: if we consider a point \( p \) in 3D space, perspective mapping means that we draw a line through this point and the center of projection \( N \) and intersect it with the image plane to find the corresponding image point. In order to remove the distortions of the horizontal plane, we now want to undo this perspective map for points in the plane. We therefore define an “inverse perspective” by the following procedure: for a point \( p' \) in the image plane, we trace the associated ray through \( N \) towards the horizontal plane. The intersection is the result of the inverse perspective applied to the image point \( p' \). The term ‘inverse perspective mapping’ does not correspond to an actual inversion of perspective which is of course mathematically impossible.

Rather, it denotes an inversion under the additional constraint that inversely mapped points should lie in the horizontal plane. If we compose both perspective and inverse perspective, the horizontal plane is mapped onto itself, while elevated parts of the scene are distorted. The figure shows the results using this method (screenshot from AutoCAD tool (Fig. 13).
Fig. 12 – Geometry of perspective mapping. N: center of projection (nodal point), y’, z’ axes of the camera coordinate system, y, z axes of the world coordinate systems. The horizontal axes x’ (for the camera) and x (for the world system) are perpendicular to the paper plane and are omitted in the figure, p: a point in 3D space; p1, p: its projections into the image and the horizontal plane; j: homogeneous representation of p’. f is the focal length of the camera system and h the height of the camera nodal point above the ground plane. By φ, we denote the inclination angle of the optical axis, i.e., the angle between y and z’.

Fig. 13 – Screenshot from Autocad tool
Conclusions

Photographic collections are a very interesting product for Cultural Heritage knowledge. Nevertheless, to be really effective they should follow some guidelines for the preparation, capture, storage and classification. The alternatives are various, and it depends on the purpose of the projects. Anyway, we must keep the context of the images and ensure the future utilization as metric documents and radiometric reference. We don’t have single and perfect method in order to have a 3D model, but this online storage is an excellent starting point. These two approaches are only two of two methods among a large variety of solutions that can be used. A perfect method does not exist, but we can underline that the perspective method is more accurate than the first. In fact, in the first method, the scale was repeatedly applied, and it depended on subjective measurements taken by Google Street View’s image, that owns no metric information and no high resolution. Furthermore, the measurement results are not metrically perfect because the pictures after the process of rectifying have lost 10 centimeters compared to the original pictures. On the other hand, using perspective inverse method, the plan is inserted in AutoCAD in the final phase and above all the pictures don’t need the process of rectifying. If the resolution of the photo had been higher, the 3D model could be completed by inserting the texture, which in this case is a low resolution in order to be inserted in the wireframe (the final result is showed in the Figure 14).

Fig. 14 – Final Result: Wireframe of the church

As for the Google Street View storage, any collection of photographs is valuable in order to achieve functional information for any type of project. In this context, we can note the importance of cataloguing all
the data that we have, in order to be able to not only to allow easy access to future user, but also to pay attention to the file cataloguing method in order to be able to use them in the future.
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